

Message

From: Yogi, David [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=0901834622BB409C8C544B60C2CFE025-DYOGI]
Sent: 6/21/2017 4:25:12 PM
To: Lane, Jackie [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=97214d7e5f7c4062b45b404f22c7c6da-JLANE]
CC: LEE, LILY [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=d6085a744f9347e6836c54c0e85b97b2-LLEE06]; Fairbanks, Brianna [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=8245a7918577465bb6825c57aa2bee9c-BFAIRBAN]; Chesnutt, John [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=e1cd369e94474c2c8a876fb16943320a-JCHESNUT]
Subject: RE: EPA'S REVIEW OF COMMENTS PAST MESSAGES AND POSTERS TO INFORM NAVY FACT SHEET - DUE 6/21/2017

I'll wait till you take a look at my comments on the Q&A before sending. There was some additional information, edits needed.

From: Lane, Jackie
Sent: Wednesday, June 21, 2017 9:22 AM
To: Yogi, David <Yogi.David@epa.gov>
Subject: EPA'S REVIEW OF COMMENTS PAST MESSAGES AND POSTERS TO INFORM NAVY FACT SHEET - DUE 6/21/2017
Importance: High

David: Here is the cover email to Derek and the files to send COB today. If you completed your review of the EPA Q&A file, please use your version instead. FYI, J

Dear Derek:

You and Kellie asked the CI Team to send comments by 6/21 on past messaging and posters. The attached and written comments below will hopefully help inform the Navy's upcoming factsheet to update the community on the Tetra Tech work.

1. Attached file shows Navy's draft key messages and talking points with edits and discussion.
2. Below are EPA's comments on the Navy's latest versions of the 2/8/17 posters. We anticipate that the Navy will include many similar elements in the upcoming fact sheet or use the posters in the future.
3. Attached Q&As of various community recent questions that EPA has received with suggested answers.

EPA appreciates this opportunity and Thanks!

David

EPA Comments on the RAD Posters

Poster #1 Understanding Radiation

- Having several standards that apply in different situations adds confusion. Either explain it more thoroughly or remove or just keep one. Especially confusing is the 10 mrem reference, which relates to Treasure Island.

- Explain “cosmic”

Poster #4 Protecting the Public

- Consider moving this and #5 to the beginning, since this is the most common category of concern and question we get

Poster #5 Living and Working at Hunters Point

- “No contamination found on Parcel A” and “no cleanup was required” overstates. There was some relatively limited contamination found at Parcel A and it was cleaned up.
- “Advancing the arts” section is not relevant to the primary role of the Navy and regulatory agencies of cleanup. Please consider removing this section.